1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 10 ANGELO DENNINGS, et al., CASE NO. C10-1859JLR Plaintiffs, 11 ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR APPEAL BOND 12 v. 13 CLEARWIRE CORPORATION, Defendant. 14 15 Before the court is class Plaintiffs' second motion for an appeal bond in this class action lawsuit. (Mot. (Dkt. # 134).) The court previously ordered objectors Gordan 16 17 Morgan and Jeremy De La Garza to post an appeal bond of \$41,150.00 before pursuing their appeal of the final settlement order. (3/11/13 Order (Dkt. # 117).) Objectors never 18 19 did this. In the meantime, their appeal was summarily dismissed by the Ninth Circuit as 20 being "so insubstantial as not to require further argument." (See Order of USCA (Dkt. 21 # 126).) Now, Objectors have appealed the court's order approving attorney's fees in 22

connection with the final settlement. (Not. of Appeal (Dkt. # 132).) Once again, class 2 Plaintiffs move for an appeal bond. 3 The same arguments that compelled the court to require an appeal bond before 4 apply here as well. The court directs Objectors to its previous order for a full explanation 5 of why an appeal bond is appropriate. (See 3/11/13 Order.) This new motion raises no 6 new issues warranting the court's attention, and Objectors make no legitimate arguments for why this appeal should be treated any differently from the previous one. (See Resp. 8 (Dkt. # 138).) For these same reasons, \$41,150.00 is once again an appropriate amount 9 for an appeal bond. (See 3/11/13 Order at 4-5.) The motion is GRANTED. If the bond 10 is not posted or the appeal withdrawn within five days of the date of this order, Plaintiffs 11 and Plaintiffs' counsel will be subject to sanctions by the court. 12 Dated this 8th day of July, 2013. 13 14 m R. Rli 15 JAMES L. ROBART United States District Judge 16 17 18 19 20 21 22